# **Ultrasonic characterization of model mixtures of hydrated aluminous cement**

A. SMITH, T. CHOTARD∗, J. P. BONNET, F. LOUVET, C. GAULT *Groupe d'Etude des Matériaux Hétérogènes (EA 3178), Ecole Nationale Supérieure de C ´eramique Industrielle, 47–73 avenue Albert Thomas, 87065 LIMOGES cedex, France E-mail: t.chotard@ensci.fr E-mail: a.smith@ensci.fr*

In an aluminous cement, which mainly consists of CA, the stable phases arising during hydration are  $C_3AH_6$  and  $AH_3$ . This communication presents a chemical route for the synthesis of  $C_3AH_6$  which is based on hydration of  $C_3A$ . Mixtures of CA,  $C_3AH_6$  and AH<sub>3</sub> are characterised by ultrasonic testing. The ultrasonic velocity obtained on these mixtures is lower than what is observed in hydrated aluminous cement of similar chemical composition. Interfaces are thought to play a significant role in the ultrasonic response of aluminous cements. <sup>C</sup> *2002 Kluwer Academic Publishers*

## **1. Introduction**

Aluminous cements consist mainly of calcia and alumina which combine to give anhydrous phases, the major being  $CaO \cdot Al_2O_3$  noted  $CA^{\dagger}$ . On mixing with water and depending on the experimental conditions such as temperature, relative humidity and setting time, different hydrates are formed, namely  $CAH<sub>10</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>AH<sub>8</sub>,$  $C_3AH_6$  and AH<sub>3</sub> [1–6]. The stable phases are  $C_3AH_6$ and AH3 and the other hydrated phases inevitably convert to these at a rate that depends on time, temperature and/or relative humidity. One of the key issue with such materials is to be able to predict the long-term behaviour of set systems. The most obvious approach in order to predict the mechanical behaviour of set aluminous cement is to examine simpler systems in terms of chemical composition such as single hydrates or a mix of hydrates with CA. In this paper, we wish to examine to mechanical behaviour of a three-phase system containing  $CA$ ,  $C_3AH_6$  and  $AH_3$ . The first part is devoted to the description of the preparation and characterisation of the single hydrate  $C_3AH_6$ , and the second part concerns with the mechanical characterisation by ultrasonic testing of the different mixes. The use of experimental design to predict the longitudinal wave velocities  $(V_L)$  and the influence of each constituent on it will be presented.

## **2. Synthesis and characterisation of C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub>**

 $C_3AH_6$  is usually obtained from the hydration of  $C_3A$ . The synthesis of  $C_3A$  is derived from the method described in the literature [7–9]. It is based on the combustion of calcium and aluminium nitrates with citric acid according to reaction (1):

$$
3Ca(NO3)2 + 2Al(NO3)3 + 10/3C6H8O7 \rightarrow 20CO2 + 6N2 + (CaO)3Al2O3 + 40/3H2O (1)
$$

The final product has been characterised by X-rays diffraction, XRD (INEL CPS 120 curved diffractometer) and it is composed of  $C_3A$  exclusively (Fig. 1); it is called 'C<sub>3</sub>A powder'. C<sub>3</sub>A powder is mixed with water. For clarity, the resulting mixture is called 'the paste'. In theory,  $C_3$ A reacts with water to give  $C_3$ AH<sub>6</sub> according to reaction (2):

$$
C_3A + 6H \rightarrow C_3AH_6 \tag{2}
$$

Fig. 2a to c presents the XRD spectra of this mix at increasing times after mixing. If we look at 2 min after mixing (Fig. 2a), the only crystalline phase, which is detected, is  $C_3A$ . Moreover, some interesting observations can be done comparing Fig. 2a and Fig. 1. The recorded areas (number of counts in arbitrary unit) for the five most intensive peaks have notably decreased compared to the initial product (Table I). For example, the number of counts for the (440) plane falls from 19463 to 14438 for the starting powder and at 2 min after mixing sample, respectively. This result suggests that some  $C_3A$ powder has already started to react with water. In addition, if we consider the diffraction pattern of Fig. 2a at the lowest  $2\theta$  values ( $2\theta < 20^{\circ}$ ), we can observe a slight dome in the XRD pattern. This can be attributed to the existence of an amorphous phase. Fig. 3 shows the temperature recorded inside the paste just after mixing C3A powder with water in the stoechiometric amounts. We can note an important increase in temperature at the beginning of the experiment. Since no other crystalline phase apart from  $C_3A$  is detected, it means that an amorphous material, possibly with the composition  $C_3AH_6$ , has been formed and this reaction is associated with an important heat exchange. At 24 and 72 h after mixing the constituents, crystallised  $C_3AH_6$  is detected by XRD (Fig. 2b and c). This transformation between

<sup>∗</sup> Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed. <sup>†</sup>Shorthand notation is used:  $C = CaO$ ,  $A = Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $H = H<sub>2</sub>O$ .



*Figure 1* XRD spectra for C<sub>3</sub>A powder. The most intensive diffraction peaks with the corresponding (*h k l*) planes are labelled.



*Figure 2* XRD spectra for the paste at different times after mixing with water; 2 min (a), 24 h (b) and 72 h (c). Symbols:  $\Box$  C<sub>3</sub>A,  $\Delta$  C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub>. (*Continued.*)



*Figure 2* (*Continued*).



*Figure 3* Temperature inside the paste as a function of setting time elapsed after mixing C<sub>3</sub>A powder with water.

TABLE I Values of the number of counts (AU) for the fives most intensive XRD peaks in the case of  $C_3A$  powder alone and at 2 min after mixing  $C_3$  A with water. The number of counts corresponds to the surface area underneath the peak

	$C_3$ A powder (Fig. 1)	$C_3A + water 2 min$ after mixing (Fig. 2a)	
$(hkl)$ Plane	Nb of counts (AU)	Nb of counts (AU)	
(321)	4536	2276	
(440)	19463	14438	
(444)	3802	2275	
(800)	9053	6629	
(844)	7817	6119	

amorphous and crystalline forms is without any modification in the temperature.

The quantity of unreacted  $C_3A$ , which is still present in the paste just after mixing  $C_3A$  powder and water, has been quantified by X-rays dosage. Reference media have been prepared by mixing intimately ZnO  $(m_{ZnO} = 0.04 \text{ g})$  with increasing quantities of C<sub>3</sub>A

 $(m_{C<sub>3</sub>A} = 0.012$  to 0.04 g). Each sample is analysed by XRD. By measuring the area of the most intensive peak for ZnO and for  $C_3A$  (namely  $I_{ZnO}$  and  $I_{C_3A}$  for (101) at  $2\theta = 36.253°$  and (440) at  $2\theta = 33.169°$ , respectively), we define a ratio as follows:

$$
I = 100 \frac{I_{\text{C}_3\text{A}}}{I_{\text{ZnO}}} \tag{3}
$$

and the corresponding reference curve is shown in Fig. 4.

At different times after hydration, 0.04 g of the paste is analysed by XRD. It is then mixed with 0.04 g of ZnO. It is calculated according to (3) and the weight percentage of unreacted  $C_3A$  still present in the paste is deduced from Fig. 4. Results are summarised in Table II. These data show that 2 min after mixing, 37 wt% of unreacted  $C_3$ A remains in the paste and consequently the rest of  $C_3$ A has converted into amorphous material. Its transformation into crystalline  $C_3AH_6$  occurs over longer period of time. For instance, at 72 h after mixing,



*Figure 4* Reference curve for quantitative dosage of  $C_3A$ .

TABLE II Quantitative determination of  $C_3$ A inside the paste at increasing times after mixing  $C_3A$  powder with water

Time after mixing	Relative intensity $(\%)$	$C_3A$ weight%
$2 \text{ min}$	24.1	37.00
2 <sub>h</sub>	22.9	35.00
6 h	23.0	35.00

unreacted  $C_3A$  is still present in the paste (Fig. 2c). A complete transformation of  $C_3A$  into  $C_3AH_6$  requires a modification of the experimental procedure.  $C_3A$  powder has to be mixed with an excess water, heated at  $120\degree$ C under 3 bars for 72 h. After this hydrothermal synthesis, only crystalline  $C_3AH_6$  is detected by XRD.

#### **3. Ultrasonic characterisation of CA, C3AH6, AH3 and prediction of longitudinal waves velocity**

Seven compositions containing different amounts of CA,  $C_3AH_6$  and  $AH_3^{\dagger}$  have been studied (Table III).  $C_3AH_6$  and  $AH_3$  correspond to the crystalline forms of the hydrates. These compositions are chosen according to an "optimal" experimental design in order to establish a polynomial model of the ultrasonic velocity. The CA compound has been prepared by mixing  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>§</sup> and  $Al_2O_3^{\S}$  in the 1:1 molar ratio. The starting powders have been milled by attrition in propanol for three hours. After solvent removal, the resulting mix has been treated in air at 1400◦C for 2 hours. This temperature is necessary to obtain a crystallised CA phase. CA is then ground to get the final CA powder with a particle size that ranges between 1 and 100  $\mu$ m. Prior to mixing the CA powder with water, a thermal treatment at 300◦C (calcination), already studied by Gessner *et al.* [10], for 1 hour has been carried out to insure a complete desorption of gases such as carbon dioxide and water that can be adsorbed at the surface of the particles during storage. For each composition, disks of various

TABLE III Compositions tested by ultrasonic measurements

Composition	СA $(weight\%)$	AH <sub>3</sub> $(weight\%)$	$C_3AH_6$ (weight $%$ )
	2.50	2.50	95.0
$\overline{c}$	95.00	2.50	2.50
3	2.50	95.00	2.50
4	48.75	2.50	48.75
5	48.75	48.75	2.50
6	2.50	48.75	48.75
7	33.33	33.33	33.33

densities are prepared by uniaxial pressing (diameter: 30 mm; thickness, *d*: 8 mm; compaction pressure: 1 to 6 bars). The density, and consequently the porosity, is deduced from mass and volume measurements. Ultrasonic measurements have been carried out on the different disks. The velocities of longitudinal wave have been measured according to the pulse transmission method [11–14]. A Pulse generator/receiver system (Panametric 5072PR) and two piezoelectric transducers (Ultran W038 10 MHz) have been used. One transducer (transmitter) is applied on one face of the disk and sends directly ultrasonic waves across it. A second transducer (receiver) collects the waves on the other face. The received signal is recorded and analysed on a digital oscilloscope (Lecroy wave runner LT 322). The transit time,  $\tau$ , is measured and is related to the longitudinal wave velocity,  $V_L$ , as follows:

$$
V_{\rm L} = \frac{d}{\tau} \tag{4}
$$

The experimental setup is described on Fig. 5. Fig. 6 shows a typical curve of  $V<sub>L</sub>$  as a function of porosity (in vol%). From the data collected on the seven compositions, we can estimate for a given porosity the ultrasonic longitudinal velocity of any composition within the ternary phase diagram CA,  $C_3AH_6$  and  $AH_3$  by using a software (NEMROD) for experimental design [15, 16]. Fig. 7 presents a map of ultrasonic velocities for a material which has a porous volume fraction of 0.20. The used polynomial model is a "reduced order 3" model. The values range between 1400 to about



*Figure 5* Experimental setup for measuring the ultrasonic velocity inside the different samples presented in Table III.



*Figure 6* Ultrasonic velocity (in m⋅s<sup>-1</sup>) as a function of porosity (in vol%) for mixture n°7.



*Figure 7* Map of predicted ultrasonic velocities for composition within the ternary diagram CA – AH<sub>3</sub> – C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub> which have 20 vol% porosity. The compositions of Table III are noted on the diagram. The points correspond to the experimental measurements.

2500 m⋅s<sup>-1</sup>. These values are lower than what is obtained on a cementitous material of similar porosity and which contains the same chemical constituents. For an aluminous cement, which is 24 h old and has been kept at 60◦C and 95% relative humidity and where the mineral constituents are  $CA$ ,  $C_3AH_6$  and  $AH_3$ , the recorded velocity is equal to 3800 m  $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup>, C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub> and AH<sub>3</sub> are crystalline [17]. It shows that predicting the ultrasonic properties of a cementitous system from the behaviour of a porous material where the different constituents are not interacting with each other is not sufficient. In particular, the chemical interfaces between the different components probably play a role in the propagation of ultrasonic waves. To our knowledge, the role of pore morphology on the elastic properties of porous ceramics has been modelled [17, 18] but not the role of chemical interfaces or grain boundaries between phases. Nevertheless, despite the fact that the calculated velocities are lower than what is measured experimentally in the final product, it is interesting to exploit further the data of Fig. 7 in order to estimate the contribution of each constituent on the value of  $V_L$ . The methodology consists in choosing a reference composition on the ternary diagram, then plotting a line that goes through one apex and a reference composition. Such line is known as Cox or Pieppel line in an experimental mixture design [16]. Finally, for the different compositions along this line, the ultrasonic velocity (*V*L) can be estimated. In the present study, the chosen reference composition is  $N°7$ (Table III). Fig. 8 presents one line that passes through



*Figure 8* Map of predicted ultrasonic velocities for composition within the ternary diagram CA – AH<sub>3</sub> – C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub> (20 vol% porosity). The curve corresponds to the contribution of the % of C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub> on the evolution of the ultrasonic velocities. The chosen reference composition is N°7 (Table III).



*Figure 9* Contribution of the percentage of each constituent on the evolution of the predicted ultrasonic velocity deduced from the ternary diagram  $CA - AH_3 - C_3AH_6$  (20 vol% porosity). The chosen reference composition is  $N°7$  (Table III). The other compositions of Table III are noted on the diagram.

the  $C_3AH_6$  apex; along this line, the ratio of the other two constituents  $(CA \text{ and } AH_3)$  is constant. The variations of *V*<sup>L</sup> are plotted on this figure for increasing percentage of  $C_3AH_6$ . The same procedure has been carried out for lines going through the other two apices and composition  $N°$  7. The results are plotted on Fig. 9. It can be seen that by varying the CA percentage between 2.5 and 95%, it gives *V*<sub>L</sub> values ranging between 1970 and 2570 m⋅s<sup>-1</sup>. If we examine the case of  $C_3AH_6$ or AH3, we notice that compositions containing either low amounts of  $C_3AH_6$  or  $AH_3$  present small velocities values, of the order of 1500 and 1670 m  $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup> (compositions N°4 and N°5). However, C<sub>3</sub>AH<sub>6</sub> contributes to high  $V<sub>L</sub>$  values compared to  $AH<sub>3</sub>$  when its content is high (comparison between compositions  $N°2$  and 3 in Fig. 9). This behaviour can be due to dissimilarities in densities (2.42 g  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and 2.57 g  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for AH<sub>3</sub> and  $C_3AH_6$ , respectively), or to difference in the structural state  $(C_3AH_6$  crystals are bigger than AH<sub>3</sub> ones [17]), or the difference in the type of chemical bonding in the two components.

#### **4. Conclusion**

 $C_3AH_6$  has been prepared from the hydration of chemically synthesised  $C_3A$ . After mixing with water, the material is essentially amorphous and crystallises progressively into  $C_3AH_6$ . In order to reproduce a typical phase composition of an hydrated aluminous cement material,  $C_3AH_6$  is mixed with CA and AH<sub>3</sub>. Measurement and simulation of ultrasonic longitudinal wave velocities on mixtures of these three constituents give values that range between 1400 and 2500 m  $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Amongst the two hydrates,  $C_3AH_6$  gives the highest contribution to the ultrasonic velocities. Nevertheless, these values are lower than in an hydrated aluminous cement. It shows that predicting the ultrasonic properties of a cementitous system from the behaviour of a porous material where the different constituents do not present chemical interfaces is too simplistic and that the role of interfaces should be taken into account.

# **References**<br>1. K. L.

- SCRIVENER, J. L. CABIRON and R. LETOURNEUX, *Cem. Conc. Res.* **29** (1999) 1215.
- 2. A. CAPMAS, D. MENETRIER-SORRENTINO and D. DAMIDOT, in "Calcium Aluminate Cements," edited by R. J. Mangabhai (E. & F. Spon, Chapman & Hall, London, 1990) p. 65.
- 3. K. L. SCRIVENER, in "Calcium Aluminate Cements 2001," edited by R. J. Mangabhai and F. P. Glasser (IOM Communications, London, 2001) p. 3.
- 4. W. GESSNER, in "Calcium Aluminate Cements 2001," edited by R. J. Mangabhai and F. P. Glasser (IOM Communications, London, 2001) p. 151.
- 5. K. FUJII, W. KONDO and H. UENO, *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* **69**(4) (1986) 361.
- 6. R. N. EDMONDS and A. J. MAJUMDAR, *Cem. Conc. Res.* **18**(2) (1988) 311.
- 7. S . SOMIYA, *Taikabutsu* **269** (1980) 317.
- 8. H. J. KUZEL, *Neues Jahrbuch f. Mineralogie*, monatshefte, (1969) 397.
- 9. A. CUNEYT TAS , *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* **81**(11) (1998) 2853.
- 10. W. GESSNER, S. MÖHMEL, A. RETTEL and J. P. BAYOUX, in "Proceedings of 5th Unitecr," New Orleans, 1997, Vol. I, p. 109.
- 11. R. TRUEL, C. ELBAUM and B. B. CHICK, in "Ultrasonic Methods in Solid State Physics" (Academic Press, London, 1969) ch. 2.
- 12. C. M. SAYERS and R. L. GRENFELL, *Ultrasonics* **31**(3) (1993) 147.
- 13. A. BOUMIZ, C. VERNET and F . COHEN-TENOUDJI, *Adv. Cem. Bas. Mater.* **1** (1996) 94.
- 14. T. J. CHOTARD, N. GIMET-BREARD, A. SMITH, D. FARGEOT, J. P. BONNET and C. GAULT, *Cem. Concr. Res.* **31** (2001) 405.
- 15. W. G. COCHRAN and G. M. COX, in "Experimental Designs" (Wiley and Sons, New York, 1992) p. 148.
- 16. S. A. CORNELL, in "Experiments with Mixture" (Wiley and Sons, New York, 1990) p. 221.
- 17. A. SMITH, T. CHOTARD, N. GIMET-BREARD and D. FARGEOT, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **22** (2002) 947.
- 18. I. SOROKA and P. J. SEREDA, *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* **51** (1968) 337.
- 19. L. P. MARTIN and M. ROSEN, *ibid.* **80**(4) (1997) 839.

*Received 19 November 2001 and accepted 14 May 2002*